

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,654	12/19/2003	Floyd D. Simpson	CE11670JDP	3833
Scott M. Garret	7590 07/03/200	EXAMINER		
Motorola, Inc.		LEE, BETTY E		
Law Department 8000 West Sunrise Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, FL 33322			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	=\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/741,654	SIMPSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Betty Lee	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2003					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/741,654

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims **1-3, 9-11, and 17-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beach et al. (US 2007/0072588).

Regarding claim 1, Beach teaches admitting a reserved traffic stream at an access point, including establishing a reserved buffer at the access point for buffering data corresponding to the reserved traffic stream to be transmitted to the mobile station (see paragraph 23 lines 9-11);

waking up a WLAN subsystem of the mobile station from a low power state (see paragraph 26 lines 1-8);

acquiring a WLAN channel between the mobile station and the access point (see paragraph 23 lines 4-8);

transmitting a polling frame to the access point over the WLAN channel, the polling frame identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 4-8);

in response to transmitting the polling frame, receiving a response frame at the mobile station over the WLAN channel, the response frame being transmitted by the

access point and identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 7-11); and

upon receiving the response frame, setting the WLAN subsystem into the low power state (see paragraph 23 lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 2, Beach further teaches receiving an acknowledgement frame at the mobile station from the access point over the WLAN channel in response to transmitting the polling frame (see paragraph 23 lines 7-8).

Regarding claim 3, Beach further teaches transmitting an acknowledgement frame from the mobile station to the access point over the WLAN channel in response to receiving the response frame (see paragraph 23 lines 11-13).

Regarding claim 9, Beach teaches admitting a reserved traffic stream at the access point, including establishing a reserved buffer at the access point for buffering data corresponding to the reserved traffic stream to be transmitted to the mobile station (see paragraph 23 lines 9-11);

receiving a polling frame at the access point over the WLAN channel from the mobile station, the polling frame identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 4-8);

checking the reserved buffer for buffered data corresponding to the reserved traffic stream to be sent to the mobile station (see paragraph 23 lines 9-11);

acquiring a WLAN channel between the mobile station and the access point, performed by the access point (see paragraph 23 lines 9-11); and

transmitting a response frame to the mobile station over the WLAN channel, the response frame being transmitted by the access point and identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 17-18).

Regarding claim 10, Beach teaches transmitting an acknowledgement frame to the mobile station from the access point over the WLAN channel in response to receiving the polling frame (see paragraph 23 lines 7-8).

Regarding claim 11, Beach teaches receiving an acknowledgement frame from the mobile station at the access point over the WLAN channel in response to transmitting the response frame (see paragraph 23 lines 11-13).

Regarding claim 17, Beach teaches admitting a reserved traffic stream at the access point, including establishing a reserved buffer at the access point for buffering data corresponding to the reserved traffic stream to be transmitted to the mobile station; indicating to the access point by the mobile station that the mobile station will use power save mode (see paragraph 25 lines 1-7);

placing a WLAN subsystem of the mobile station in a low power state (see paragraph 25 lines 1-7);

waking up the WLAN subsystem of the mobile station from a low power state in response to the occurrence of a service interval timer event, the service interval timer for timing a service interval, the service interval defining a real time duration of a voice frame (see paragraph 21 lines 1-6);

Application/Control Number: 10/741,654

Art Unit: 2616

acquiring a WLAN channel between the mobile station and the access point, performed by the mobile station after waking up the WLAN subsystem from the low power state (see paragraph 23 lines 4-7);

transmitting a polling frame over the WLAN channel from the mobile station upon acquiring the WLAN channel, the polling frame identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 4-7);

acquiring the WLAN channel, performed by the access point after checking the reserved buffer (see paragraph 23 lines 9-11);

transmitting a response frame to the mobile station over the WLAN channel, the response frame being transmitted by the access point and identifying the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 23 lines 17-18); and

upon receiving the response frame at the mobile station, setting the WLAN subsystem into the low power state (see paragraph 23 lines 18-21).

Regarding claim 18, Beach further teaches comprising transmitting an acknowledgement frame to the mobile station from the access point over the WLAN channel in response to transmitting the polling frame (see paragraph 23 lines 7-8).

Regarding claim 19, Beach further teaches transmitting an acknowledgement frame from the mobile station to the access point over the WLAN channel in response to receiving the response frame (see paragraph 23 lines 11-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/741,654 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2616

6. Claims **4, 5, 12-14, 20, 22, and 23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beach et al. (US 2007/0072588) in view of Meier (US 2004/0184475).

Regarding claim 4, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of a MORE_DATA bit. However, Meier teaches receiving the response frame includes receiving a header of the response frame having a MORE_DATA bit set to indicate a second response frame will be transmitted subsequently; the method further comprising receiving a second response frame at the mobile station (see paragraph 108 lines 3-6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Regarding claims 5, 13, and 20, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the polling frame comprising a null frame. However, Meier teaches the polling frame comprising a null frame (see paragraph 107 lines 4-6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Regarding claim 12, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the MORE_DATA bit. However, Meier teaches transmitting the response frame includes transmitting a header of the response frame having a MORE_DATA bit set to indicate a second response frame will be transmitted subsequently; the method further comprising transmitting a second response frame to

the mobile station, the second response frame belonging to the reserved traffic stream (see paragraph 108 lines 3-6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Page 8

Regarding claim 14, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of transmitting a null frame. However, Meier teaches transmitting a null frame if there is no data in the reserved buffer (see paragraph 107 lines 1-9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Regarding claim 22, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the polling frame including data. However, Meier teaches transmitting a frame of voice data, the voice data provided to the WLAN subsystem by a voice processing subsystem of the mobile station (see paragraph 109 lines 1-4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the system to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to decrease the delay of the transmission of buffered data.

Regarding claim 23, Beach teaches if the access point has buffered data in the reserved buffer, transmitting a voice frame including the buffered voice data (see paragraph 23 lines 8-11). Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of transmitting a null frame.

However, Meier teaches if the access point has not buffered voice data in the reserved buffer, transmitting a null frame (see paragraph 107 lines 1-10). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Meier in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

7. Claims **7, 8, 15, and 16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beach et al. (US 2007/0072588) in view of Liu et al. (US 2004/0190467).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of contending for the WLAN channel. However, Liu teaches acquiring the WLAN channel is performed by contending for the WLAN channel (see paragraph 54 lines 1-9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Liu in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Beach teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of carrier sensing. However, Liu teaches contending for the WLAN channel is performed by carrier sensing (see paragraph 54 lines 1-9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Liu in the system of Beach. The motivation for doing so is to increase the reliability of the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,654 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims **6 and 21** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ginzburg et al. (US 2004/0264396), Chen et al. (US 2004/0253996), and Lindskog et al. (US 2002/0132603) are all cited to show systems which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betty Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9-5 EST and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,654

Art Unit: 2616

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RI

Betty Le

HASSAN KIZOU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600